Dear Jose:

Finding this under my doorstep prompted me sending it on to you. I guess there are others behind you in this election!

I have tried to help campaign for you in my own small way, and choose to be anonymous. I think this notice was circulated throughout our area here.

More power to you, and, if you don't make it, hope you will make a good showing to make others sit up and take notice!

Sincerely,

An Anonymous Supporter.

Dear Voter:

The following ordinance has been proposed:

> It shall be unlawful (perhaps, a misdemeanor punishable by fine or imprisonment) for any owner of more than one house to refuse to sell his house to any buyer because of the latter's race or color once the owner has made a public offering to sell. Further, it shall be unlawful (again, maybe a misdemeanor) for a landlord to refuse to rent because of race or color once he has announced publicly that he has lodgings for rent.

Two of the candidates for the Board of Supervisors
Roger Boas, and Alan Nichols
are among those who have proposed such legislation. Other candidates for the Board who have indicated that they would support it are:
George Johns, and Ernest Lotti
Two who are likely to support it, having indicated their dislike of what they call "discrimination" are:
Jim Coventry, and W. H. Lammers
Only one candidate, to my knowledge, has indicated that he is opposed to the ordinance as I have described it. He is
Jose Julio Sarria
The INCUMBENTS, to my knowledge, have taken no stand whatsoever.

The writer of this circular is absolutely opposed to the proposed legislation. It could subject a party to a fine or prison sentence and, hence, the disgrace of a penal record for doing what many have long contended is a moral and Constitutional right: the right to dispose of one's property as one sees fit. Most of us have considered it a fundamental axiom of a free society that disposition of private property can not be questioned no matter what the whim or caprice of the owner. The proposed legislation would be a definite step in a direction already too far travelled toward total control of private property, its disposition and use, by government, which amounts, in the end, to the destruction of all private ownership and the creation of a socialist or communist state.

The proposed legislation goes much further than either the Federal Constitution or State law. The equal protection clause of the Federal Constitution forbids discrimination by State governments, and the Civil Code of Calif. forbids discrimination by a business. No State law, including California's, nor the Federal Constitution denies a private owner, not in the business of selling or renting, the right to refuse to sell or rent to whom he prefers not to, no matter for what reason. Indeed, there is a strong possibility that the proposed legislation is itself unconstitutional.

Those who own one house only need take no comfort that the law as presently proposed will not effect them; said owners may rest assured that this is only the first step and that the backers of the proposed ordinance will not rest until every property owner and lessor in the City is covered.

Therefore, I recommend voting for -- Jose Julio Sarria -- and not voting for -- Roger Boas, Alan Nichols, George Johns, Ernest Lotti, Jim Coventry, and W. H. Lammers. I do not know the position of Jack Morrison and cannot recommend him.

I would suggest voting for the Incumbent members of the Board who are running for re-election since their records do not indicate that they favor such legislation and are not likely to support such legislation in the face of a strong public protest against it.

Please discuss this issue, if you are interested, with your friends and neighbors, since I do not have the resources to circulate this petition to all voters throughout the City.

I also recommend a YES vote on Proposition B for a fine park and playgrounds at low cost.

A Citizen for Principle and Common Sense in Government